

(DR. R. NAGAN GOWDA.)

Blocks	Expenditure during 1953-54 (2nd October 1953 to 1st April 1954)			Expenditure during 1954-55 (From 1st April 1954 to 15th January 1955)		
	1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		
1. Ramanagaram <i>cum</i> Kanakapura	...	4,147 0 0		62,276 6 3		
2. Gundlupet	...	4,647 0 6		75,845 15 6		
3. Holenarasipur	...	1,580 13 0		75,117 8 6		
4. Koppa-Narasimharajapura	...	2,256 14 0		53,731 0 0		
5. Harihar-Davangere	...	3,736 9 9		86,461 1 3		
6. Turuvekere	...	5,462 12 0		76,215 10 3		
7. Sidlaghatta	...	2,696 7 6		53,486 7 0		
8. Malavalli	...	Nil		88,638 7 6		
Expenditure from 2nd October 1954 to 15th January 1955						
9. Arkalgud	...	Nil		11,345 8 0		
10. Mudigere	...	"		5,252 8 9		
11. Holalkere	...	"		4,819 13 0		
12. Siruguppa	...	"		5,210 2 6		
13. Tumkur-Gubbi	...	"		3,936 6 0		
14. Srinivasapura	...	"		4,539 5 3		

National Extension Scheme (Extension to Taluks).

Q.—524. Sri K. V. BYRE GOWDA
(Bangalore North).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the names of taluks to which the National Extension Scheme would be introduced during this year?

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

Decision on this subject is not tak enyet for 1955-56.

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they intend to extend the National Extension Scheme this year to Chikballapur?

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

Decision is not taken yet as to the taluks to which the scheme may be extended during the year 1955-56.

Electricity (Supply to Sasalu and Gopalanahalli).

Q.—566. Sri C. H. LINGADEVARU
(Chiknaikanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the estimate for supplying electricity to Sasalu and Gopalanahalli in Chiknaikanahalli

National Extension Scheme (Extension to Chikballapur Taluk)

Q.—536. Sri A. MUNIYAPPA
(Siddlaghatta-Chikballapur—Scheduled Castes).—

Taluk is not prepared and pending sanction of the Government ;

(b) when the work would be taken up ;

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) It has been prepared but not yet sent up to Government.

(b) This will be considered after the estimate is received.

Business of the House.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—There is some difficulty in proceeding with the Board of Revenue Bill. You may remember that last day when the Board of Revenue Bill was taken up for consideration, I was not ready ; I was not called upon to speak on this Bill. Now I find that on account of some changes made in the Select Committee, recommendation of the Rajpramukh is necessary to proceed with the Bill. Therefore, the next Bill Mysore Tenancy (Second Amendment) Bill, 1954 may be taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes. We shall now take up Tenancy (Second Amendment) Bill.

*Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I have an objection to this procedure. For to-day's Agenda, it has been put down like this :

“Legislative—Consideration of Bills—

The Mysore Board of Revenue Bill, 1954.

- (i) Further discussion on the motion that the Mysore Board of Revenue Bill, 1954, as reported by the Joint Select Committee be taken into consideration.
- (ii) Sri Kadidal Manjappa (Minister for Revenue and Public Works) to move that the Bill be passed.”

There are some other Bills also put down to be taken up. We were under the impression that this Mysore Board of Revenue Bill, 1954 will take at least two days before it is passed. Last

time there was a good deal of discussion on this Bill and after it has emerged from the Select Committee it will take considerable time for discussing it. So we were all under the impression that this Bill would be taken up for consideration to day and some Hon'ble Members have tabled amendments. We did not expect that the Minister would spring a surprise on us. It shows that there is incompetence on the part of the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No aspersion need be cast. That is an imputation.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—I am going to substantiate. . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—The other day you made a statement and threw out a challenge that no imputation should be made. There should be reciprocity.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—I am making a charge which I am going to prove, according to his own policy. He never looked into the provisions of the Constitution of India and now he pleads that some permission is necessary. So, that shows his incompetence, that he was not prepared and that he had not consulted all the legal experts and found out what procedure should have been followed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I may bring it to the notice of the House that this will not improve matters. You have to proceed with the Bill according to some procedure laid down.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Now that you want the Tenancy Bill to be taken up for consideration, I may say we are not prepared to consider other Bills today and for today the session may be adjourned.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮೊನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಈ ಗೇಣಿ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ನಾವೀದಿವಸ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ತಯಾರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಕಾರಣ, ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣವೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಆ ದಿವಸ ತಯಾರಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದೆ.

On the spur of the moment with due deference to the wishes of the Hon'ble Members and the Leader of Opposition, I had to agree to move for consideration the Board of Revenue Bill. This difficulty the Hon'ble Members should

* Indicates that the speech has not been revised by the Member concerned.